

Dated: March 21, 1995.

**Stanley L. Laskowski,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 95-10707 Filed 5-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[OH50-1-6077b; FRL-5183-6]

#### Approval And Promulgation Of Implementation Plans And Designation Of Areas For Air Quality Planning Purposes: State Of Ohio

**AGENCY:** United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The USEPA proposes to approve the redesignation request and maintenance plan for Toledo, Ohio as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving the State's redesignation request and maintenance plan as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this notice. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this action must be received by June 1, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to:

William MacDowell, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604  
Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at:

Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angela Lee, (312) 353-5142, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), United States

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the final rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 14, 1995.

**Valdas V. Adamkus,**

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-10694 Filed 5-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

#### 40 CFR Part 82

[FRL-5199-5]

#### Reconsideration of the Significant New Alternatives Policy Rule

**AGENCY:** United States Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed stay.

**SUMMARY:** On December 8, 1994 EPA announced a 3-month administrative stay (59 FR 63255) based on EPA's decision to reconsider the Significant New Alternative Policy (SNAP) rule as it applies to substitutes manufactured solely for export (59 FR 13044, March 18, 1994). This action stays the effectiveness of the SNAP rule as it applies to substances produced solely for export, including the applicable compliance dates, until EPA takes final action reconsidering the SNAP rule. At that time, EPA will also take final action, as appropriate, establishing the effective date of the rule for such substances.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal must be received by June 1, 1995 at the address below. A public hearing, if requested, will be held in Washington, D.C. Requests for a hearing should be submitted to Robert Waugh by June 1, 1995 at the address below. If a hearing is requested, EPA will publish notice of such hearing in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this proposed action should be addressed to Robert Waugh, Substitutes Analysis and Review Branch, Stratospheric Protection Division, Office of Air and Radiation, (6205-J) 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Comments should be strictly limited to the subject matter of this proposal, the scope of which is discussed below.

**DOCKET:** Pursuant to section 307(d)(1) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7607(d)(1), this action is a public docket for this action, A-91-42, which is available for public inspection and copying between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following address. A reasonable fee

may be charged for copying. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Docket No. A-91-42, Air Docket (6102), Room M1500, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460 (202) 245-3639.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Waugh at (202) 233-9152 or Fax (202) 233-9577.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On December 8, 1994, EPA announced that, pursuant to CAA section 307(d)(7)(B), 42 U.S.C. 7607(d)(7)(B), it was convening a proceeding for reconsideration of the SNAP rule as it applies to substances manufactured for export (59 FR 63255). In that notice, USEPA also announced a 3-month administrative stay of the SNAP rule as it applies to substitutes produced solely for export. However, USEPA will be unable to complete reconsideration (including any appropriate regulatory revision) of the rule within the 3-month period expressly provided by CAA section 307(d)(7)(B). Therefore, EPA is proposing to temporarily extend the stay of the SNAP rule as it applies to substitute use for export until EPA completes its reconsideration and final rulemaking action. If USEPA takes final action to impose this stay, the stay would extend until the effective date of USEPA's final action following reconsideration of the SNAP rule.

##### II. Authority for Stay and Reconsideration

This notice proposes, pursuant to CAA section 110(c), 301(a)(1) and 307(d)(1)(B), 42 U.S.C. 7410(c), 7601(a)(1) and 7607(d)(1)(B), to temporarily stay the elements of the rule that apply to substitutes manufactured solely for export, as well as applicable compliance dates beyond the three months expressly provided in section 307(d)(7)(B). This stay is only effective if and as long as it is necessary to complete reconsideration (including any appropriate regulatory action) of the referenced elements of the rule. Pursuant to the rulemaking procedures set forth in CAA section 307(d), 42 U.S.C. 7607(d), USEPA hereby requests public comment on this proposed temporary extension of the three-month stay.

##### III. Administrative Requirements

It has been determined that this notice is not a "significant regulatory action" under the terms of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735; October 4, 1993)